

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**LOS DAHDA (01),**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. 12-20083-01-DDC**

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the court on pro se<sup>1</sup> defendant Los Dahda's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Doc. 2944). Defendant seeks leave to file a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 without prepayment of fees. No filing fee is required for a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. *See United States v. Garcia*, 164 F. App'x 785, 786 (10th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, the court denies defendant's motion as moot.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT THAT** defendant Los Dahda's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Doc. 2944) is denied as moot.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**Dated this 19th day of July, 2021, at Kansas City, Kansas.**

**s/ Daniel D. Crabtree**  
**Daniel D. Crabtree**  
**United States District Judge**

---

<sup>1</sup> Because Mr. Dahda proceeds pro se, the court construes his filings liberally and holds them to a less stringent standard than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991). But the court does not assume the role of advocate for a pro se litigant. *Id.*